

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/912,470	07/25/2001	Kevin R. Boyle	GB 010056	5132		
24737	7590 10/03/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INT	TELLECTUAL PROF	PAN, YUWEN				
P.O. BOX 300	-	APTIBUT	DADED MUADED			
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2682			
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
·		09/912,4		BOYLE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit	1			
		Yuwen P	an	2682				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ion appears on th	e cover sheet wit	h the correspondence a	ddress			
VVHIO - Exte after - If NO - Fails Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL INSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TI CFR 1.136(a). In no exation. Ty period will apply and viby statute, cause the apply	HIS COMMUNIC rent, however, may a re rill expire SIX (6) MONT blication to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  FHS from the mailing date of this of the ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status					•			
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <i>18 July 2005</i> .						
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·					
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election i	requirement.	•				
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b	objected to b	y the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is requi	red if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
•	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmer	ıt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			ummary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC			/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2682

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Claims 1-18 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,2, and 6-8 of copending Application No. 10/056,096. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

a wireless terminal comprising a ground conductor and a transceiver coupled to an antenna feed, wherein the antenna feed is coupled directly to the ground conductor via a capacitor formed by a conducting plate and a portion of the ground conductor.

Art Unit: 2682

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Newly amended independent claims 1 and 10 contains subject matter "via a parallel plate capacitor formed by a plate and a surface of said ground conductor housing, said plate having a non-resonant length substantially less than a wavelength" in which was not described in the original specification, especially, the limitation of "said plate having a non-resonant length substantially less than a wavelength".

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2682

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yuwen Pan whose telephone number is 571-272-7855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on 571-272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yuwen Pan September 20, 2005 NICK CORSANNER PRIMARY EXAMINER